



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,422	06/10/2005	Michael Hulskemper	112740-1087	3591
29177	7590	03/21/2007	EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP			PHAM, TUAN	
P.O. BOX 1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60690			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/538,422	HULSKEMPER, MICHAEL
	Examiner TUAN A. PHAM	Art Unit 2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's remark, filed on 01/16/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7-12 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made over Takizawa Kazuyuki (Publication No.: 09-205476).

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should not use the word "said". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bae et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0203496, hereinafter, "Bae") in view of Takizawa Kazuyuki (Publication No.: 09-205476), and further in view of Bank et al. (Pub. No.: US 2003/0059069, hereinafter, "Bank").

Regarding claim 7, Bae teaches a mobile telephone comprising (see figure 2):

a first and a second housing part (sliding housing 20, main housing 10), wherein each part can be displaced with respect to one another in such a way that respective sections of each housing part are exposed as a result of the displacement (see figure 2, exposed section keypad, exposed section in rear of housing 20).

It should be noticed that Bae fails to teach one of the exposed sections is at least partially in the form of speaker. However, Takizawa Kazuyuki teaches such features (see figure 1, figure 2, the exposed section is included speaker 1, [0010]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Takizawa Kazuyuki into view of Bae in order to provide the audio signal to the user when they are in communication.

Bae and Takizawa Kazuyuki, in combination, fails to teach a bending wave loudspeaker comprising a plate-like element for emitting audible signals and an operating element for exciting bending waves in the plate-like element. However, Bank teaches a bending wave loudspeaker comprising a plate-like element for emitting audible signals (see figure 12, plate-like, [0081]) and an operating element for exciting bending waves in the plate-like element (see figure 5, figure 10, active piezoelectric resonant element, [0061, 0078]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of bank into view of Bae and Takizawa Kazuyuki in order to improve the input energy as suggested by Bank at col.1, [0007].

Regarding claim 8, Bank further teaches a material for the plate-like element is optimized for the purpose of excitation with bending vibrations (see [0004, 0057]).

Regarding claim 9, after combine, Takizawa Kazuyuki and Bank teach the plate-like element of the bending wave loudspeaker is arranged in the exposed section of the second housing part (see figure 2 of Takizawa Kazuyuki, figure 12 of Bank, plate-like, [0081]). Bae teaches a keypad arranged in the section of the first housing part that is exposed by the displacement (see figure 2, main housing 10, keypad 12).

Regarding claim 10, Bank further teaches a separating wall between the plate-like element in the region of the exposed section of the second housing part and the interior of said housing part (see figure 2, upper and lower beam 88 and 90).

Regarding claim 11, Bank further teaches the separating wall mechanically protects the interior of the second housing part against buckling of the plate-like element (see figure 2, upper and lower beam 88 and 90).

Regarding claim 12, after combine, Takizawa Kazuyuki and Bank teaches the bending wave loudspeaker is arranged on a front face of the mobile telephone when the first and the second housing part are displaced with respect to one another (It appear to examiner that arrange the bending wave loudspeaker on the rear face of the mobile phone would depend more upon the choice of the manufacturer and the choice of engineering, than on any inventive concept).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2618
March 16, 2007
Examiner



Tuan Pham

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2600



Matthew Anderson